

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 14 JUN 2005

WIPO

PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference 106756WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/NZ2004/000050	International filing date (day/month/year) 9 March 2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 14 March 2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC Int. Cl. <sup>7</sup> G01S 13/00, G01S 7/04		
Applicant NAVMAN NZ LIMITED et al		

1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.

3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:

a. ☒ (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 9 sheets, as follows:

☒ sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).

☐ sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.

b. ☐ (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or table related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).

4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

☒ Box No. I Basis of the report

☐ Box No. II Priority

☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 14 January 2005	Date of completion of the report 2 June 2005
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	Authorized Officer  Andrea Hadley Telephone No. (02) 6283 2222

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.  
PCT/NZ2004/000050

## Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:

☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1 (b))

☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)

☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)

2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (*replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report*):

☐ the international application as originally filed/furnished

☒ the description:

pages as originally filed/furnished

pages\* 1-7 received by this Authority on 16 May 2005 with the letter of 16 May 2005

pages\* received by this Authority on with the letter of

☒ the claims:

pages as originally filed/furnished

pages\* as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19

pages\* 8,9 received by this Authority on 16 May 2005 with the letter of 16 May 2005

pages\* received by this Authority on with the letter of

☒ the drawings:

pages 1. as originally filed/furnished

pages\* received by this Authority on with the letter of

pages\* received by this Authority on with the letter of

☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.

3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

☐ the description, pages

☐ the claims, Nos.

☐ the drawings, sheets/figs

☐ the sequence listing (*specify*):

☐ any table(s) related to the sequence listing (*specify*):

4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

☐ the description, pages

☐ the claims, Nos.

☐ the drawings, sheets/figs

☐ the sequence listing (*specify*):

☐ any table(s) related to the sequence listing (*specify*):

\* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.  
PCT/NZ2004/000050**Box No. V** Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

## 1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-17	YES
	Claims	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-17	YES
	Claims	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-17	YES
	Claims	NO

## 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS): Claims 1-17:

The following document was cited in the International Search Report:

US 5923285 A (ANDRUSIAK et al.) 13 July 1999.

As shown in Figure 2, this document discloses a radar system where a signal processing unit (33) receives radar signals and video from other sources which is then output through a network (34) to enable more than one digitally driven display unit (36) access to data which is output in more than one digital format. The signal processing unit, however, is not shown to be contained within the system, as defined by claim 1, nor is this suggested in the text of the document. Therefore, claim 1 and dependent claims 2 to 17 are considered to be novel and inventive when compared with the cited prior art.

## **RADAR INSTALLATION**

### **TECHNICAL FIELD**

This invention relates a radar head containing the radar transmitter and receiver and to a method controlling the same and of producing the processed output therefrom.

### **BACKGROUND**

Typically a radar consists of at least two parts, a radar transmitter and receiver, which may be combined with an antenna, and a radar signal treatment device which may be combined with the radar display and which may include a facility for adding other signals such as a GPS map or a north heading compass repeater.

### **PRIOR ART**

It is known to locate the radar transmitter and receiver remotely from the display unit, and in some cases the separation may be many kilometers (typically in air traffic control or in military systems). In such cases it is normal to provide raw radar information to the remote units and to rely on them to do their own processing. This raw radar may be provided in digital format.

The digital format may be Ethernet or similar, as shown in US patents 6,249,241 and 5,592,170.

### **THE PROBLEM**

The problem with this is that it requires the transmission of fairly high bandwidth information to the receivers often at low signal levels. In a shipboard situation it is normal for this bandwidth to be catered for by using a relatively fragile coaxial cable, and to run control cables to the radar receiver and transmitter to control such things as pulse repetition frequency (prf), gain and decluttering. Such long cable runs tend to introduce electrical noise and are mechanically fragile.

Additionally where multiple displays are required to carry out their own radar signal processing the expense of providing the required processing in each display is not inconsiderable.

### **OBJECT**

It is an object of the invention to provide a radar in which the majority of the processing takes place in the head unit and the feed to any displays is by serial or other digital medium, preferably by wireless digital medium or to at least provide the public with a useful choice.

5 **STATEMENT OF INVENTION**

In one aspect the invention consists of a radar head containing a radar transmitter and receiver and configured to be closely associated with a radar antenna, a signal processing unit included with said head for processing the received radar signals and for combining these with data from other sources, and configured to simultaneously output data in  
10 more than one digital format for use by at least one display unit.

Preferably the signal processing unit can simultaneously output data for at least two differing processed radar signals.

Preferably the signal processing unit can output at least a raw radar video signal and a processed radar signal.

15 Preferably the signal processing unit can simultaneously output radar signals for differing radar ranges.

Preferably the signal processing unit is controllable by digital input signals.

Preferably the signal processing unit can receive control signals for the radar receiver and transmitter.

20 Preferably the signal processing unit can receive digital signal inputs which can be added to the output during processing.

Preferably a north heading signal is combined with the radar signal to allow synchrony with other data feeds.

25 Preferably the signal processing unit may output signals representative additional data feeds.

Preferably all signal processing is carried out digitally.

Preferably the radar transmitter is controllable by digital signals from the signal

processing unit.

Preferably the digital signals are to a standard specification.

Preferably the digital signals are USB, Firewire, Bluetooth or Ethernet protocol.

Preferably the output feed may be utilised by more than a single display unit.

5      Preferably the digital signals are distributed wirelessly.

Preferably the display may select one or more data signals from those provided by the system.

Preferably the display has inputs allowing remote control of the radar transmitter, receiver or signal processing.

10      The invention also consists in a method of producing a radar signal for a remote display consisting in receiving at least one data radar signal at a radar head, receiving at least a data signal from a map or chart overlay at said radar head, receiving a signal capable of orienting the radar signal against the map signal at the radar head, and producing a digital data output consisting of at least two data signals wherein the signals  
15      may be displayed on at least one video display separately or combined.

Preferably the digital video output includes a raw radar output, a processed radar output, a GPS map output and at least one heading signal.

Preferably the heading signal is a north heading signal.

#### **DRAWINGS DESCRIPTION**

20      These and other aspects of this invention, which would be considered as novel in all aspects would become apparent from the following description, which is given by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1      shows a block diagram of the inventive radar system

Figure 2      shows a block diagram of a digital display unit.

25      Figure 3      shows a block diagram of the video processing unit

Figure 1 shows a radar antenna 10 which is fed from a transmitter 11 and feeds a receiver 12. Output from the receiver is supplied to video processor 16 which also receives input from GPS receiver 14 fed from antenna 13. The GPS may also contain an electronic map or chart 15. Not shown are also other inputs for, for example, range rings and vehicle heading line. Inputs such as these may be remotely located from the video processor and may link to it as multiplexed inputs in the same manner as the control inputs do. The GPS output, for instance may actually be multiplexed into the processor via a standard bus. A typical method of doing this is to form sequential packets of an output Ethernet signal from portions of each different video feed, with appropriate packet signatures, and reassemble the required video feeds at a packet receiver.

The video processor feeds signals to, and is fed by, multiplexer 17, the multiplexer output being encoded in a standard digital signal protocol, for instance ARINC, USB or Ethernet over cable, optical cable or wireless. It may be noted that in a shipboard environment a wireless digital protocol can only be relied upon where no bulkhead doors intervene, but that this often will provide a satisfactory service, for instance for passenger information, or where the signal is used in the bridge and can be transmitted directly from the radar head to the bridge via windows.

All of the required information is assembled in the video processor, but it may be assembled into multiple differing video streams, which may be either separately transmitted or transmitted in a multiplexed form. The video processor may for instance produce feeds for a raw signal, a decluttered signal, a moving target signal, a range ring signal, and a map signal and all may be separately encoded into the output. It is well within the capabilities of, for instance, Ethernet, to carry many simultaneous video streams at the resolution required for a radar display.

Typically the video processor is a dedicated chip designed to handle the various streams and encode them into a whole but it may consist of a series of separated chips each contributing its function.

To provide heading synchronism between the video feeds a north heading may be imposed on the radar signal in a known manner. This north heading is typically created from a flux gate compass linked to a gyro for stability, and provides a signal when the radar sweep passes through north, normally true north rather than magnetic north. The

existence of a north marker in the radar signal allows easy synchronism of the radar with the map, especially at slow vessel speeds where the vessel heading continually swings.

Figure 2 shows a block diagram of a video display unit which consists of a standard monitor 20 fed by video driver 21 from multiplexer 23. The display unit may itself combine separate video feeds for some of the displayable items. This particularly applies to range rings, where users tend to be extremely idiosyncratic in their preferences and forcing multiple displays to show the same range rings at the same video level may cause user dissatisfaction. Thus the separate video feeds can be either chosen separately or they can be combined in a manner chosen by the user if the user has this facility. The multiplexer also receives input from radar control unit 22 which allows remote control of such things as radar gain, display range, etc. Where the digital output of video processor 16 contains more than one video feed there may be local control of which is shown, or the balance between those which are shown.

Typically the streams of data are separated from a single series of data packets into the bytes making up the constituent signals. These may then be chosen, decoded as selected by the user and applied to the display.

The controls may be knob and button or they may be roller ball and button or they may be presented on a touch sensitive screen.

Since the radar video is distributed digitally there may be many displays on the same feed. Not all displays may have access to the remote radar control functions, which may be available to privileged users only on input of a password or set up to respond to a user at only one IP address.

Figure 3 shows the video processor block diagram where the video output from the radar receiver is processed at 30 for decluttering, addition of range rings, a north heading signal, etc and then passed to vector to raster mapping processor 31 and fed into memory map 33.

In similar manner the map input from GPS 32 is also fed into the memory map at the required scale. While the GPS is shown as directly connected to the video processor it may actually be remotely located and may feed the video processor via the same digital



bus which carries the output signals.

The memory map is intended to cover a raster display screen at the maximum required resolution. Output from the radar receiver, synchronised with the antenna movement, is vector mapped into the memory at the radar prf rate. Output from the GPS unit map is also mapped into the memory, preferably at a lower rate. Signals may meet one of the standard protocols, for instance NMEA.

Other video items may be written into memory, for instance information relating to the vehicle heading and speed.

The video processor may be remotely controlled for the brilliance mix between map and radar returns or other items making up the video, and it may translate remote commands into control for the radar receiver and transmitter, for instance of gain, prf or output power.

Output from the memory array is multiplexed onto an output stream in a known manner, and various parts of the output stream may be differently coloured, either to indicate their function or the signal strength or type.

## VARIATIONS

While the video processing is described as using a bitmapped memory mapped image transfer the image may be stored as a vector image and passed to the displays in this format.

The image transfer process may transfer only those portions of the image screen which have changed to give reduced bandwidth requirements. Since the entire screen may be refreshed at the radar rotation rate this will determine the bandwidth requirements.

The radar may produce outputs for multiple different ranges. This typically requires different pulse repetition frequencies and different pulse lengths, but it is possible to interleave long and short pulses at the same prf and separately select the video for the differing pulse lengths to provide a better resolution for the short ranges and an adequate signal response at the longer ranges. Cancellation or reduction of fixed returns may be separately controlled for each range display.

#### **INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY**

The invention relates to a method of remotely displaying radar signals and to the system providing the remote signals, wherein the signals are transmitted over a standard digital protocol and a selection among the different signals may be made. The industrial applicability includes advantages lying in the enhanced security available from standard protocols and hardware, and the ability to choose the required video signal.

**CLAIMS:**

1. A radar system comprising:  
a head containing a radar transmitter and receiver and configured to be closely associated with a radar antenna  
5 a signal processing unit included with said head for processing the received radar signals and for combining these with data from other sources, and configured to simultaneously output data in more than one digital format for use by at least one display unit.
2. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the signal processing unit can  
10 simultaneously output data for at least two differing processed radar signals.
3. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the signal processing unit can output at least a raw radar video signal and a processed radar signal.
4. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the signal processing unit can simultaneously output radar signals for differing radar ranges.
- 15 5. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the signal processing unit is controllable by digital input signals.
6. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the signal processing unit can receive control signals for the radar receiver and transmitter.
7. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the signal processing unit can  
20 receive digital signal inputs which can be added to the output during processing.
8. A radar system as claimed in claim 7 wherein a north heading signal is combined with the radar signal to allow synchrony with other data feeds.
9. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the signal processing unit may output signals representative additional data feeds.
- 25 10. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein all signal processing is carried out digitally.
11. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the radar transmitter is controllable by

digital signals from the signal processing unit.

12. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the digital signals are to a standard specification.
13. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the digital signals are USB, Firewire,  
5 Bluetooth or Ethernet protocol.
14. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the output feed may be utilised by more than a single display unit.
15. A radar system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the digital signals are distributed wirelessly.
- 10 16. A digital display for a system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the display may select one or more data signals from those provided by the system.
17. A digital display for a system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the display has inputs allowing remote control of the radar transmitter, receiver or signal processing.